

# Board of Vocational Nursing and Psychiatric Technicians

## ***ADDENDUM TO FINAL STATEMENT OF REASONS***

**Hearing Date:** January 2, 2008

**Subject Matter of Proposed Regulations:**

Rehabilitation Criteria; Disciplinary Guidelines

**Sections Affected:**

Vocational Nursing (VN): Amend Sections 2522 and 2524  
Repeal Section 2522.5

Psychiatric Technician (PT): Amend Sections 2579 and 2579.10  
Repeal Section 2579.1

**Updated Information**

The Initial Statement of Reason is included in the file. The information contained therein, which is incorporated by reference, is updated as follows:

On January 2, 2008, a public hearing was conducted at the Engineers' Board Hearing Room, 2535 Capitol Oaks Drive (Third Floor), Sacramento, California. The Board received no testimony during the public hearing.

On February 1, 2008, the Board approved the regulatory proposal with a minor change to section 2579 by correcting the phrase "licensed vocational nurse" and replacing it with "psychiatric technician." References in sections 2522 and 2579 were subsequently updated to the term "licensee."

Additional technical and consistency changes were made to the text. (Refer to section VII of the rulemaking file, Availability of Modified Text.)

On March 10, 2008, a notice of the availability of the modified text outlined above was published. The public comment period ended on March 24, 2008. No written comment was received.

On May 9, 2008, the regulatory proposal was presented to the Board for action to ensure that all rulemaking procedural requirements have been met. The Board adopted the regulatory proposal as revised.

The "Disciplinary Guidelines" that are incorporated by reference are not included in the California Code of Regulations in full because it would be cumbersome.

## **Local Mandate**

A mandate is not imposed on local agencies or school districts related to the regulations.

### **Business Impact**

The regulation will not have a significant adverse economic impact on businesses.

### **Consideration of Alternatives**

No reasonable alternative to the regulations would be either more effective in carrying out the purpose for which the action is proposed or would be as effective and less burdensome to affected private persons than the proposed regulations.

### **Objections or Recommendations/Responses**

There were no objections or recommendations regarding the proposed action.

(6/12/08)